Sylvan Lake
Minimum Levels Peer Review

Agenda

1. CFWI Peer Review Guidelines

2. Sylvan Lake MFLs Peer Reviewer Selection

3. Public Comments

St. Johns River
Water Management District

CFWI Peer Review Guidelines

- **Process approved by CFWI Steering Committee in 2012**

- **Voluntary peer review**

  - **Reviewers selected by the District**

  - **With input from stakeholders**

St. Johns River
Water Management District

3

CFWI Peer Review Approach

- **Peer reviewers must have expertise in the material being reviewed**
  - Select one or more reviewers for modeling and hydrological analyses
  - <u>Select one or more reviewer for MFLs report</u>

- **Three or more reviewers recommended, depending on:**
  - Budgetary constraints
  - Individual [system-specific] peer review needs

St. Johns River
Water Management District

General Criteria

- Free of conflicts of interest;

- Not a member of the MFLs development team or have participated in production the of the work product;

- Well qualified by virtue of education and work experience; background in ecology (wetlands, aquatic), biology or other related science;

- Responsive and able to meet deadlines;

- Volume of work received.

St. Johns River
Water Management District

Specific Criteria

- **Experience in relevant scientific fields (e.g., wetlands and aquatic ecology, biology, hydrology);**

- **Familiar with SJRWMD MFL methodology including the determination and assessment of MFLs.**

Additional Beneficial Skills / Experience

- **Experience in ecohydrology (interactions between hydrology and both terrestrial and aquatic ecology); and**

- **Experience in statistical analysis of environmental and hydrological data.**

St. Johns River
Water Management District

Sylvan Lake MFLs Report Review

Short list:

1. Greenman Pedersen, Inc.

2. Janicki Environmental / ATM

3. HSW

   - Ken Watson

   - Scott Emery

   - Dean Mades

Questions?

Send comments by noon
August 19th
to

Andrew Sutherland
asutherl@sjrwmd.com