

Table of Contents

Sections

Section 1	Background.....	1-1
1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	Prioritized Aquifers in Georgia	1-2
1.3	Objectives and Scope of Work	1-3
1.4	General Description of Georgia Coastal Plain Aquifer System	1-4
1.5	Modeling Approach and Objectives	1-6
Section 2	Geology and Hydrogeology	2-1
2.1	Climatological Conditions.....	2-1
2.1.1	Rainfall.....	2-1
2.1.2	Evaporation	2-2
2.1.3	Direct Runoff.....	2-2
2.1.4	Aquifer Recharge.....	2-4
2.2	Physiography	2-5
2.3	Topography and Drainage	2-6
2.4	Regional Geology	2-7
2.4.1	The Appalachian Plateau	2-7
2.4.2	The Valley and Ridge.....	2-8
2.4.3	The Blue Ridge.....	2-8
2.4.4	The Piedmont.....	2-8
2.4.5	The Coastal Plain.....	2-8
2.5	Regional Hydrogeology	2-11
2.5.1	Surficial Aquifer System.....	2-16
2.5.2	Brunswick Aquifer System.....	2-17
2.5.3	Upper Confining Unit.....	2-18
2.5.4	Floridan Aquifer System	2-18
2.5.4.1	Upper Floridan Aquifer	2-18
2.5.4.2	Lower Floridan Aquifer	2-19
2.5.5	Claiborne Aquifer.....	2-19
2.5.6	Gordon Aquifer	2-20
2.5.7	Clayton - Dublin Aquifers.....	2-20
2.5.8	Cretaceous Aquifer System.....	2-21
2.5.8.1	Upper Cretaceous Aquifer System.....	2-21
2.5.8.1.1	Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers.....	2-21
2.5.8.1.2	Eutaw-Midville Aquifer.....	2-22
2.5.8.2	Eutaw-Cape Fear Confining Unit.....	2-22
2.5.8.3	Lower Cretaceous Aquifer System.....	2-22
2.5.8.3.1	Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifer.....	2-22
2.5.8.3.2	Lower Atkinson - Lower Tuscaloosa Aquifer.....	2-22
2.5.8.4	Mitchell Confining Unit.....	2-23

Section 3	Review of Existing Regional Groundwater Flow Models.....	3-1
3.1	Inventory of Existing Models.....	3-1
3.2	USGS Southeastern Coastal Plain Clastic Aquifer System Model for Georgia	3-2
3.3	SJRWMD Northeast Florida Model	3-2
3.4	SRWMD North Florida/South Georgia Model.....	3-3
3.5	USGS Mega Model for Florida	3-3
3.6	USGS Upper Floridan Aquifer Suwannee River Basin Model.....	3-4
3.7	USGS Coastal Georgia Model.....	3-4
3.8	USGS Upper Floridan Aquifer Model for Tallahassee, Florida	3-5
3.9	Summary.....	3-5
Section 4	Regional Historic Groundwater Use in Georgia	4-1
4.1	Introduction.....	4-1
4.2	Historic Groundwater Use State-wide	4-2
4.3	Historic Groundwater Use in the Coastal Plain of Georgia	4-2
4.4	Summary.....	4-3
Section 5	Regional Groundwater Flow Model Development	5-1
5.1	Conceptual Groundwater Flow Model	5-1
5.2	Regional Groundwater Flow Model Code Selection.....	5-4
5.3	USGS Coastal Plain Clastic Aquifer System Model.....	5-6
5.4	Georgia EPD Coastal Plain Regional Groundwater Model Development	5-8
5.4.1	Model Domain and Grid Design.....	5-9
5.4.2	Model Stratigraphy	5-10
5.4.3	Boundary Conditions.....	5-12
5.4.3.1	Constant Head Boundaries	5-12
5.4.3.2	Specified Flow Boundaries	5-13
5.4.3.3	Head-Dependent Boundaries.....	5-13
5.4.4	Aquifer Characteristics	5-13
5.4.4.1	Transmissivity	5-16
5.4.4.2	Hydraulic Conductivity	5-16
5.4.4.3	Leakance.....	5-16
5.4.5	Land Surface Elevations	5-17
5.4.6	Well Locations and Pumping Rates.....	5-17
5.4.6.1	Pumping Locations.....	5-17
5.4.6.2	Well Pumping Rates	5-20
5.4.7	River Package.....	5-22
5.4.8	Aquifer Recharge.....	5-22
Section 6	Regional Groundwater Flow Model Calibration	6-1
6.1	Approach to Groundwater Flow Model Calibration	6-1
6.2	Calibration Criteria and Metrics.....	6-1
6.2.1	Calibration Criteria	6-2
6.2.2	Calibration Parameters	6-3

6.3	Well Pumping Data	6-3
6.4	Available Water Level Data	6-3
6.4.1	Monitor Wells	6-3
6.4.2	Staff Gauges.....	6-5
6.4.3	Baseflow	6-5
6.4.3.1	Selection of Stations and Discharge Data	6-5
6.4.3.2	PART Analysis	6-6
6.4.3.3	PART Results.....	6-9
6.4.3.4	Comparison to Simulated Baseflow	6-9
6.4.4	Estimated Recharge in the Outcrop Areas.....	6-10
6.5	Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Flow Model Calibration Results.....	6-11
6.5.1	Groundwater Levels	6-11
6.5.2	Groundwater Flow Patterns	6-13
6.5.3	Vertical Head Differences	6-13
6.5.4	Baseflow Comparison	6-15
6.6	Calibrated Hydrogeologic Properties.....	6-17
6.7	Model-Wide Water Budget	6-17
Section 7	Regional to Sub-Regional Groundwater Flow Model Development Process	7-1
7.1	Regional Groundwater Flow Model	7-1
7.2	Transient Sub-Regional Groundwater Flow Models	7-1
7.3	Process for Sub-Regional Groundwater Flow Model Development....	7-2
7.4	Calibration Criteria and Metrics for Transient Sub-Regional Groundwater Flow Models	7-5
Section 8	Sub-Regional Transient Upper Floridan Aquifer Model Development and Calibration for South-Central Georgia and the Eastern Coastal Plain	8-1
8.1	Sub-Regional Transient Upper Floridan Aquifer Model Development	8-1
8.1.1	Model Domain and Grid Refinement.....	8-1
8.1.2	Model Stratigraphy	8-1
8.1.3	Transient Sub-Regional Model	8-2
8.1.4	Model Modifications	8-2
8.1.5	Aquifer Characteristics	8-3
8.2	Comparison of the Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model to Georgia EPD Regional Model	8-3
8.3	Available Groundwater and Surface Water Level Data	8-4
8.3.1	Measured Groundwater Levels.....	8-4
8.3.2	Surface Water Levels.....	8-5
8.4	Well Pumping Rates.....	8-5
8.5	Sub-Regional Transient Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration Results	8-5
8.5.1	Groundwater Levels	8-6

8.5.2	Groundwater Flow Patterns	8-12
8.5.3	Vertical Head Differences between Aquifers	8-13
8.6	Revised Hydrogeologic and Hydrologic Properties	8-15
Section 9	Sub-Regional Transient Claiborne Aquifer Model Development and Calibration	9-1
9.1	Sub-Regional Transient Claiborne Aquifer Model Development	9-1
9.1.1	Model Domain and Grid Refinement	9-1
9.1.2	Model Stratigraphy	9-1
9.1.3	Transient Sub-Regional Model	9-2
9.1.4	Model Modifications	9-2
9.1.5	Aquifer Characteristics	9-3
9.2	Comparison of the Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer to the Regional Georgia EPD Model	9-3
9.3	Available Groundwater and Surface Water Level Data	9-4
9.3.1	Measured Groundwater Levels	9-4
9.3.2	Surface Water Levels	9-5
9.4	Well Pumping Rates	9-5
9.5	Sub-Regional Transient Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration Results	9-5
9.5.1	Groundwater Levels	9-5
9.5.2	Groundwater Flow Patterns	9-12
9.5.3	Vertical Head Differences Between Aquifers	9-13
9.6	Revised Hydrogeologic and Hydrologic Properties	9-15
Section 10	Sub-Regional Transient Cretaceous Aquifer Model Development and Calibration	10-1
10.1	Sub-Regional Transient Cretaceous Aquifer Model Development	10-1
10.1.1	Model Domain and Grid Refinement	10-1
10.1.2	Model Stratigraphy	10-1
10.1.3	Sub-Regional Model in Transient Conditions	10-2
10.1.4	Model Modifications	10-2
10.1.5	Aquifer Characteristics	10-3
10.2	Comparison of the Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model to Georgia EPD Regional Model	10-3
10.3	Available Groundwater and Surface Water Level Data	10-4
10.3.1	Measured Groundwater Levels	10-4
10.3.2	Surface Water Levels	10-5
10.4	Well Pumping Rates	10-5
10.5	Sub-Regional Transient Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration Results	10-5
10.5.1	Groundwater Levels	10-6
10.5.2	Groundwater Flow Patterns	10-12
10.5.3	Vertical Head Differences between Aquifers	10-12
10.6	Revised Hydrogeologic and Hydrologic Properties	10-13

Section 11	Sustainable Yield of Aquifers.....	11-1
11.1	Examples of Sustainable Yield Criteria.....	11-1
11.1.1	Aquifer Loss of Head/Pressure	11-1
11.1.2	Maintain Opportunities for Surface Water Use	11-2
11.1.2.1	20 Percent of Annual Average Recharge.....	11-2
11.1.2.2	1-in-25 Year Annual Average Baseflow.....	11-3
11.1.2.3	Aquatic Baseflow Method	11-3
11.1.2.4	Low Flow Margin	11-3
11.1.2.5	The Tennant Method.....	11-3
11.1.2.6	The Wetted Perimeter Approach.....	11-4
11.1.2.7	R2Cross Method.....	11-4
11.1.3	Suggested Criterion for Surface Water Impact	11-4
11.2	Sustainability Measures by Modeling Approach.....	11-5
11.3	Numerical Flow Models.....	11-6
11.3.1	Evaluating Groundwater Availability.....	11-6
11.3.2	Sustainable Yield Criteria.....	11-6
11.3.3	Pumping Patterns Affect Sustainable Yield Estimate	11-7
11.3.4	Aquifer System Sustainable Yield Simulations.....	11-8
Section 12	Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Modeling for South-Central Georgia Sustainable Yield Assessment.....	12-1
12.1	Groundwater Modeling Approach for Sustainable Yield Assessment.....	12-1
12.2	Groundwater Modeling Results for Upper Floridan Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment in South-Central Georgia.....	12-2
12.3	Potential Impacts on Groundwater Levels Due to Increased Groundwater Withdrawals in the Upper Floridan Aquifer in South-Central Georgia.....	12-4
12.3.1	Baseline Condition	12-4
12.3.2	Potential Impacts with Lower End of the Range of Sustainable Yield	12-5
12.3.3	Potential Impacts with Upper End of the Range of Sustainable Yield	12-5
Section 13	Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Modeling for South-Central Georgia and the Eastern Coastal Plain Area Sustainable Yield Assessment	13-1
13.1	Groundwater Modeling Approach for Sustainable Yield Assessment.....	13-1
13.2	Groundwater Modeling Results for Upper Floridan Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment for South Central Georgia and the Eastern Coastal Plain.....	13-2
13.3	Potential Impacts on Groundwater Levels Due to Increased Groundwater Withdrawals in Upper Floridan Aquifer for South Central Georgia and the Eastern Coastal Plain.....	13-4
13.3.1	Baseline Condition	13-4

13.3.2	Potential Impacts with Lower End of the Range of Sustainable Yield	13-5
13.3.3	Potential Impacts with the Upper End of the Range of Sustainable Yield	13-5
Section 14	Sub-Regional Groundwater Modeling for Claiborne Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment	14-1
14.1	Groundwater Modeling Approach for Sustainable Yield Assessment.....	14-1
14.2	Groundwater Modeling Results for Claiborne Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment	14-2
14.3	Potential Impacts on Groundwater Levels Due to Increased Groundwater Withdrawals in the Claiborne Aquifer	14-4
14.3.1	Baseline Condition	14-5
14.3.2	Potential Impacts with the Lower End of the Range of Sustainable Yield	14-5
14.3.3	Potential Impacts with Upper End of the Range of Sustainable Yield	14-6
Section 15	Sub-Regional Groundwater Modeling for Cretaceous Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment	15-1
15.1	Groundwater Modeling Approach for Sustainable Yield Assessment.....	15-1
15.2	Groundwater Modeling Results for Cretaceous Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment	15-2
15.3	Potential Impacts on Groundwater Levels Due to Increased Groundwater Withdrawals in Cretaceous Aquifer.....	15-4
15.3.1	Baseline Condition	15-4
15.3.2	Potential Impacts with the Lower End of the Range of Sustainable Yield	15-4
15.3.3	Potential Impacts with the Upper End of the Range of Sustainable Yield	15-5
Section 16	Regional Groundwater Modeling for Prioritized Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment	16-1
16.1	Groundwater Modeling Objectives.....	16-1
16.2	Regional Groundwater Modeling Approach for Sustainable Yield Assessment.....	16-2
Section 17	Transient Modeling Simulations	17-1
17.1	Evaluation of Groundwater Level Recovery	17-1
17.2	Changing Sources of Water to Pumping Wells	17-2
Section 18	Conclusions	18-1
Section 19	References	19-1

Tables

Table 2-1	Historical Annual Rainfalls in the Study Area	2-1
Table 2-2	Summary of Annual Rainfall Volumes for Selected Rainfall Stations in Study Area	2-3
Table 2-3	Chronostratigraphy of the Geologic and Hydrogeologic Units of the Study Area	2-12
Table 2-4	Geologic Unit Descriptions	2-13
Table 3-1	Inventory of Public Domain Regional Groundwater Flow Models	3-1
Table 4-1	Groundwater Use (mgd) in Georgia for 2000 and 2005	4-2
Table 4-2	Groundwater Use (mgd) in the Coastal Plain of Georgia for 2000 and 2005	4-3
Table 4-3	Groundwater Withdrawals (mgd) in Georgia by Principal Aquifer for 2000 and 2005	4-4
Table 4-4	Land Use Summary in the Coastal Plain of Georgia for 2005 ¹	4-5
Table 5-1	Correlation between Geologic Units, Hydrogeologic Units, and Georgia EPD Coastal Plain Model Layers	5-5
Table 5-2	Aquifer Parameters Used in USGS Coastal Plain Clastic Aquifer Groundwater Model	5-7
Table 5-3	Summary of Hydrogeologic Units and Model Layer in the Georgia EPD Regional Groundwater Model	5-11
Table 5-4	Hydrogeologic Parameters Used in the Georgia EPD Regional Groundwater Model	5-15
Table 5-5	Pumping Well Distribution by Data Source and Water Use Type for the Georgia EPD Regional Groundwater Model Area	5-19
Table 5-6	Historical Water Use Pumping Distribution for the Georgia EPD Regional Coastal Plain Aquifer System Groundwater Model Area	5-20
Table 5-7	Summary of Selected Major River Stage Stations	5-23
Table 6-1	Monitor Well Distribution for the Georgia EPD Regional Groundwater Model Area	6-4
Table 6-2	Results of Long-Term Baseflow and Recharge Analysis ¹	6-7
Table 6-3	2003-2008 Results of Baseflow and Recharge Analysis	6-8
Table 6-4	Georgia EPD Regional Groundwater Model Calibration Statistics	6-12
Table 6-5	Comparison Between Observed and Simulated Vertical Head Differences in the Regional Model	6-14
Table 6-6	Model-Wide Water Budget for the Georgia EPD Regional Groundwater Model	6-18
Table 8-1	Calibration Results for Monitor Well 13M006	8-7
Table 8-2	Calibration Results for Monitor Well 18K049	8-8
Table 8-3	Calibration Results for Monitor Well 30L003	8-9
Table 8-4	Calibration Results for Monitor Well 31U008	8-10
Table 8-5	Upper Floridan Aquifer Sub-Regional Model Calibration Statistics	8-11
Table 8-6	Comparison between Observed and Simulated Vertical Head Differences in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model	8-14
Table 9-1	Calibration Results for Monitor Well 06K010	9-7
Table 9-2	Calibration Results for Monitor Well 12M001	9-8
Table 9-3	Calibration Results for Monitor Well 11J011	9-9

Table 9-4 Calibration Results for Monitor Well 13M005.....	9-10
Table 9-5 Claiborne Aquifer Sub-Regional Model Calibration Statistics.....	9-11
Table 9-6 Comparison Between Observed and Simulated Vertical Head Differences in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model	9-14
Table 10-1 Calibration Results for Monitor Well 18T001	10-7
Table 10-2 Calibration Results for Monitor Well 24V001.....	10-8
Table 10-3 Calibration Results for Monitor Well 28X001	10-9
Table 10-4 Calibration Results for Monitor Well 29AA09	10-10
Table 10-5 Cretaceous Aquifer Sub-Regional Model Calibration Statistics	10-11
Table 10-6 Comparison Between Observed and Simulated Vertical Head Differences in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model	10-13
Table 11-1 Tennant Method Showing Percentage of Mean Annual Discharge and Associated Aquatic Ecosystem Health	11-5
Table 12-1 Summary of Sustainable Yields in the Upper Floridan Aquifer in South- Central Georgia under Different Withdrawal Conditions for an Average Rainfall Year using the Steady-State Upper Floridan Aquifer Sub-Regional Groundwater Model.....	12-3
Table 13-1 Summary of the Sustainable Yields in the Upper Floridan Aquifer in South-Central Georgia and the Eastern Coastal Plain under Different Withdrawal Conditions for an Average Rainfall Year using the Steady-State Upper Floridan Aquifer Sub-Regional Groundwater Model.....	13-3
Table 14-1 Summary of Sustainable Yields in the Claiborne Aquifer under Different Withdrawal Conditions for an Average Rainfall Year using the Steady-State Claiborne Aquifer Sub-Regional Groundwater Model	14-3
Table 14-2 Non-Uniformly Increased Pumping from Simulated New Wells in Different Counties within Claiborne Aquifer Model	14-4
Table 15-1 Summary of Sustainable Yields in Cretaceous Aquifer under Different Withdrawal Conditions for an Average Rainfall Year under Different Withdrawal Conditions for an Average Rainfall Year using the Steady-State Cretaceous Aquifer Sub-Regional Groundwater Model ¹	15-3
Table 16-1 Estimated Sustainable Yields for the Upper Floridan Aquifer, Claiborne Aquifer and Cretaceous Aquifer from the Individual Sub-Regional Groundwater Models.....	16-1
Table 16-2 Summary of Sustainable Yields for the Upper Floridan, Claiborne, and Cretaceous Aquifers under Different Withdrawal Conditions for an Average Rainfall Year using the Steady-State Regional Coastal Plain Aquifer Groundwater Model.....	16-3
Table 18-1 Summary of Sustainable Yield Estimates from the Prioritized Aquifers in the Coastal Plain of Georgia.....	18-2

Figures

Figure 1-1	Project Locations Background
Figure 1-2	Coastal Plain Model Boundaries
Figure 1-3	Aquifers Within Study Area
Figure 2-1	Locations of NOAA Selected Rainfall Stations and Pan Evaporation Stations
Figure 2-2	Historical Monthly Rainfall for the Study Area
Figure 2-3	Annual Rainfall (1994-2008) for the Study Area
Figure 2-4	2004 Monthly Rainfall Distribution Recorded at Georgia's Rainfall Stations
Figure 2-5	2005 Monthly Rainfall Distribution Recorded at Georgia's Rainfall Stations
Figure 2-6	2006 Monthly Rainfall Distribution Recorded at Georgia's Rainfall Stations
Figure 2-7	Monthly Lake Evaporation Distribution in Georgia
Figure 2-8	Estimated Evapotranspiration From the Land Overlying the Upper Floridan Aquifer
Figure 2-9	Average Runoff From Selected Surface Water Basins Overlying the Upper Floridan Aquifer
Figure 2-10	Estimated Predevelopment Recharge to and Discharge (As Diffuse Upward Leakage) From the Upper Floridan Aquifer
Figure 2-11	Recharge Potential in Outcrop Areas of Aquifers and Confining Units Simulated in the Model
Figure 2-12	Components of the Hydrologic Cycle and the Long-Term Water Budget Under Predevelopment Conditions for the Southeastern Coastal Plain Aquifer System
Figure 2-13	Areal Distribution of Model Estimated Recharge Values in Outcrop Areas of Coastal Plain Aquifer System
Figure 2-14	Physiographic Provinces in Georgia and Surrounding Areas
Figure 2-15	Cross Section of Physiographic Provinces From Northwestern Alabama to Southeastern Georgia
Figure 2-16	Generalized USGS Geologic Map Showing the Age and Extent of the Outcropping Unit
Figure 2-17	Extent and Thickness of the Upper Confining Unit of the Floridan Aquifer System and Occurrence of Confined and Unconfined Conditions
Figure 2-18	Geologic Cross-Section Locations through Coastal Plain Aquifer System
Figure 2-19	East - West Hydrostratigraphic Cross Section (A-A') Through the Study Area
Figure 2-20	North-South Hydrostratigraphic Cross Section (B-B') Through the Study Area
Figure 2-21	North-South Hydrostratigraphic Cross Section (C-C') Through the Study Area
Figure 2-22	Aquifer Outcrop Areas
Figure 2-23	Example of Local, Intermediate, and Regional Groundwater Flow

Figure 2-24	Potentiometric Surface Map of the Upper Floridan Aquifer in the Study Area
Figure 2-25	Potentiometric Surface Map of the Claiborne Aquifer in Southwest Georgia
Figure 2-26	Potentiometric Surface Map of the Tallahatta-Gordon Aquifer in Central Georgia
Figure 2-27	Potentiometric Surface Map of the Clayton-Ellenton Aquifer in Southwest Georgia
Figure 2-28	Potentiometric Surface Map of the Providence Sand-Peedee Aquifer in Southwest Georgia
Figure 3-1	Existing Groundwater Flow Model Domains
Figure 4-1	Georgia's Population (1900 -2015)
Figure 4-2	Average Monthly Rainfall for Georgia (1891-2008)
Figure 4-3	Groundwater Use (mgd) in Georgia for 2000 and 2005
Figure 4-4	Groundwater Use (mgd) in the Coastal Plain of Georgia for 2000 and 2005
Figure 4-5	Groundwater Withdrawals (mgd) in Georgia by Principal Aquifer for 2000 and 2005
Figure 4-6	Land Use Summary in the Coastal Plain of Georgia for 2005
Figure 4-7	Land Use Summary in Georgia for 2005
Figure 5-1	USGS Coastal Plain Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain
Figure 5-2	CDM Regional Groundwater Flow Model Domain and Model Grid System
Figure 5-3	Three-Dimensional Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Flow Model and Cross Section Locations
Figure 5-4	North-South Cross Sections Through Coastal Plain Aquifer in Georgia
Figure 5-5	East-West Cross Sections Through Coastal Plain Aquifer in Georgia
Figure 5-6	Regional Groundwater Flow Model Boundary Conditions
Figure 5-7	Digital Elevation Model (DEM) Map of the Southeastern United States
Figure 5-8	Well Locations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2)
Figure 5-9	Well Locations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3)
Figure 5-10	Well Locations in Clayton-Ellenton/Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4)
Figure 5-11	Well Locations in Providence Sand-Peedee Aquifer (Layer 5)
Figure 5-12	Well Locations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6)
Figure 5-13	Well Locations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7)
Figure 5-14	Locations of River Cells in Regional Groundwater Flow Model
Figure 5-15	Locations of USGS Stage Stations in Regional Groundwater Flow Model
Figure 5-16	Recharge Distribution Used in Regional Steady-State Groundwater Model
Figure 6-1	Monitor Well Locations in Regional Groundwater Flow Model Domain
Figure 6-2	Selected USGS Streamflow Gages for Baseflow Separation Analysis
Figure 6-3	Baseflow Separation Results at USGS Station ID 02343801 on Chattahoochee River

Figure 6-4	Baseflow Separation Results at USGS Station ID 02356000 on Flint River
Figure 6-5	Major Rivers and Tributaries Represented in the Regional Groundwater Flow Model
Figure 6-6	Scattergram Comparison of Observed and Simulated Groundwater Elevations For Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Model Calibration
Figure 6-7	Simulated Groundwater Elevation Contours with Average Residuals (computed minus observed) in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2)
Figure 6-8	Simulated Groundwater Elevation Contours with Average Residuals (computed minus observed) in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3)
Figure 6-9	Simulated Groundwater Elevation Contours with Average Residuals (computed minus observed) in Clayton-Dublin (Layer 4)
Figure 6-10	Simulated Groundwater Elevation Contours with Average Residuals (computed minus observed) in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Cretaceous Aquifer System) (Layer 5)
Figure 6-11	Simulated Groundwater Elevation Contours with Average Residuals (computed minus observed) in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Cretaceous Aquifer System) (Layer 6)
Figure 6-12	Simulated Groundwater Elevation Contours in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Cretaceous Aquifer System) (Layer 7)
Figure 6-13	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer
Figure 6-14	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Lower Floridan Aquifer
Figure 6-15	Scattergram Comparison of Observed and Simulated Vertical Groundwater Head Difference in Monitor Well Clusters for Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Model Calibration
Figure 6-16	Monitor Well Cluster Locations in Regional Groundwater Flow Model Domain
Figure 7-1	Sub-Regional Groundwater Flow Model Extents
Figure 7-2	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Pumping in South Central Georgia Area (DQ= 1,200 mgd) Using Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Model
Figure 7-3	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Pumping in Eastern Coastal Plain Area (DQ= 524 mgd) Using Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Model
Figure 8-1	Sub-Regional Transient Upper Floridan Aquifer Model and Model Grid System
Figure 8-2	Three-Dimensional Sub-Regional Transient Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model and Cross Section Locations
Figure 8-3	North-South Cross Sections Through Upper Floridan Aquifer in Georgia
Figure 8-4	East-West Cross Sections Through Upper Floridan Aquifer in Georgia
Figure 8-5	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model

Figure 8-6	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 8-7	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 8-8	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 8-9	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 8-10	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 8-11	Scattergram Comparison of Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Flow Model Versus Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model
Figure 8-12	Monitor Well Locations In Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain
Figure 8-13	Permitted User Well Pumping Rates in Georgia Used in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 8-14	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 13M006 (Layer 2)
Figure 8-15	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 18K049 (Layer 2)
Figure 8-16	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 30L003 (Layer 2)
Figure 8-17	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 31U008 (Layer 2)
Figure 8-18	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 13M005 (Layer 3)
Figure 8-19	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 11J011 (Layer 3)
Figure 8-20	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 32Y033 (Layer 3)
Figure 8-21	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 33R045 (Layer 3)
Figure 8-22	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 14P014 (Layer 4)
Figure 8-23	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 23X027 (Layer 4)
Figure 8-24	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 12L021 (Layer 5)
Figure 8-25	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 28X001 (Layer 6)

Figure 8-26	Scattergram Comparison of Observed and Simulated Groundwater Elevations For Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration
Figure 8-27	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 8-28	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 8-29	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 8-30	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 8-31	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 8-32	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 8-33	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 8-34	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 8-35	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 8-36	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 8-37	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 8-38	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 8-39	Scattergram Comparison of Observed and Simulated Vertical Groundwater Head Difference In Monitor Well Clusters for Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration
Figure 8-40	Monitor Well Cluster Locations in Upper Floridan Aquifer Sub-Regional Groundwater Flow Model Domain

Figure 8-41	Net Recharge Distribution for May 2004 (beginning of the growing season in an average rainfall year) (SP5) Used in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 8-42	Net Recharge Distribution for June 2005 (a high rainfall month in a high rainfall year) (SP18) Used in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 8-43	Net Recharge Distribution for April 2006 (a low rainfall month at the beginning of the growing season in a low rainfall year) (SP28) Used in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 9-1	Sub-Regional Transient Claiborne Aquifer Model and Model Grid System
Figure 9-2	Three-Dimensional Sub-Regional Transient Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model and Cross Section Locations
Figure 9-3	North-South Cross Sections Through Claiborne Aquifer in Georgia
Figure 9-4	East-West Cross Sections Through Claiborne Aquifer in Georgia
Figure 9-5	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 9-6	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 9-7	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 9-8	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 9-9	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 9-10	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 9-11	Scattergram Comparison of Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Flow Model Versus Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model
Figure 9-12	Monitor Well Locations In Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain
Figure 9-13	Permitted User Well Pumping Rates in Georgia Used in Sub-Regional Claiborne Model
Figure 9-14	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 09G001 (Layer2)
Figure 9-15	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 11J012 (Layer2)
Figure 9-16	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 12L029 (Layer2)

Figure 9-17	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 13M006 (Layer2)
Figure 9-18	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 06K010 (Layer3)
Figure 9-19	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 12M001 (Layer3)
Figure 9-20	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 11J011 (Layer3)
Figure 9-21	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 13M005 (Layer3)
Figure 9-22	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 07N001 (Layer4)
Figure 9-23	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 13L013 (Layer4)
Figure 9-24	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 13L002 (Layer4)
Figure 9-25	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 12L021 (Layer 5)
Figure 9-26	Scattergram Comparison of Observed and Simulated Groundwater Elevations For Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration
Figure 9-27	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 9-28	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 9-29	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 9-30	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 9-31	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 9-32	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 9-33	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 9-34	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006

Figure 9-35	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 9-36	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 9-37	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 9-38	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 9-39	Scattergram Comparison of Observed and Simulated Vertical Groundwater Head Difference In Monitor Well Clusters for Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration
Figure 9-40	Cluster Monitor Well Locations in Claiborne Aquifer Sub-Regional Groundwater Flow Model Domain
Figure 9-41	Net Recharge Distribution for May 2004 (beginning of the growing season in an average rainfall year) (SP5) Used in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 9-42	Net Recharge Distribution for June 2005 (a high rainfall month in a high rainfall year) (SP18) Used in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 9-43	Net Recharge Distribution for April 2006 (a low rainfall month at the beginning of the growing season in a low rainfall year) (SP28) Used in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 10-1	Sub-Regional Transient Cretaceous Aquifer Model and Model Grid System
Figure 10-2	Three-Dimensional Sub-Regional Transient Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model and Cross Section Locations
Figure 10-3	North-South Cross Sections Through Cretaceous Aquifer in Georgia
Figure 10-4	East-West Cross Sections Through Cretaceous Aquifer in Georgia
Figure 10-5	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 10-6	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 10-7	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Clayton/Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 10-8	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 10-9	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model

Figure 10-10	Comparison of Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Using Regional Georgia EPD Model and Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 10-11	Scattergram Comparison of Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Flow Model Versus Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model
Figure 10-12	Monitor Well Locations In Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain
Figure 10-13	Permitted User Well Pumping Rates in Georgia Used in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Model
Figure 10-14	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 21T001 (Layer 2)
Figure 10-15	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 14P015 (Layer 3)
Figure 10-16	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 14P014 (Layer 4)
Figure 10-17	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 18U001 (Layer 4)
Figure 10-18	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 23X027 (Layer 4)
Figure 10-19	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 32Y031 (Layer 4)
Figure 10-20	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 18T001 (Layer 6)
Figure 10-21	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 21U004 (Layer 6)
Figure 10-22	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 24V001 (Layer 6)
Figure 10-23	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 28X001 (Layer 6)
Figure 10-24	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 29AA09 (Layer 6)
Figure 10-25	Comparison of Measured versus Simulated Groundwater Levels at 32Y030 (Layer 6)
Figure 10-26	Scattergram Comparison of Observed and Simulated Groundwater Elevations For Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration
Figure 10-27	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 10-28	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 10-29	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006

Figure 10-30	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 10-31	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 10-32	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in June 2006
Figure 10-33	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 10-34	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 10-35	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 10-36	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 10-37	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 10-38	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Domain in December 2006
Figure 10-39	Scattergram Comparison of Observed and Simulated Vertical Groundwater Head Difference In Monitor Well Clusters for Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration
Figure 10-40	Monitor Well Cluster Locations in Cretaceous Aquifer Sub-Regional Groundwater Flow Model Domain
Figure 10-41	Net Recharge Distribution for May 2004 (beginning of the growing season in an average rainfall year) (SP5) Used in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 10-42	Net Recharge Distribution for June 2005 (a high rainfall month in a high rainfall year) (SP18) Used in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 10-43	Net Recharge Distribution for April 2006 (a low rainfall month at the beginning of the growing season in a low rainfall year) (SP28) Used in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Model
Figure 12-1	Locations of Existing and Simulated New Wells in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Used in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-2	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model

Figure 12-3	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-4	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-5	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-6	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-7	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-8	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-9	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-10	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-11	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-12	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-13	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ($\Delta Q = 293$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model

Figure 12-14	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-15	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-16	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-17	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-18	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-19	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-20	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-21	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-22	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-23	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-24	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan

	Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-25	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-26	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-27	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-28	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-29	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-30	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 12-31	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-1	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-2	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-3	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-4	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-5	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-6	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-7	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model

Figure 13-8	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-9	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-10	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-11	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-12	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-13	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-14	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-15	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-16	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-17	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-18	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and

	Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 393$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-19	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-20	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-21	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-22	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-23	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-24	Simulated Groundwater Elevation in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-25	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-26	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-27	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-28	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model

Figure 13-29	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 13-30	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ($\Delta Q = 507$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Model
Figure 14-1	Locations of Existing and Simulated New Wells in Claiborne Aquifer (Layer 3) Used in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-2	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-3	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-4	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-5	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-6	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-7	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) At Current Pumping Conditions Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-8	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-9	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-10	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-11	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-12	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model

Figure 14-13	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-14	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-15	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-16	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-17	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-18	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-19	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 33$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-20	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-21	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-22	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-23	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-24	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-25	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing and

	Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-26	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-27	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-28	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-29	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-30	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 14-31	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing and Additional Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ($\Delta Q = 183$ mgd) Using Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Model
Figure 15-1	Locations of Existing and Simulated New Wells in Providence Aquifer (Layer 5) and Eutaw Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) (Cretaceous Aquifer System) Used in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-2	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) At Current Pumping Condition Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-3	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) At Current Pumping Condition Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-4	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) At Current Pumping Condition Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-5	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) At Current Pumping Condition Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-6	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) At Current Pumping Condition Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-7	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) At Current Pumping Condition Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model

Figure 15-8	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-9	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-10	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-11	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-12	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-13	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-14	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw-Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-15	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers Aquifer (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw-Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-16	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifer (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-17	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-18	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-19	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well

	Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 74 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-20	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-21	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-22	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-23	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-24	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-25	Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-26	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 2) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw-Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-27	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon/Lower Floridan Aquifers (Layer 3) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw-Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-28	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 4) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-29	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin Aquifers (Layer 5) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (DQ= 78 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 15-30	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw-Midville Aquifer (Layer 6) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw-Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model

Figure 15-31	Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Atkinson-Upper Tuscaloosa Aquifers (Layer 7) Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence Sand-Peedee-Dublin and Eutaw-Midville Aquifers (DQ= 77 mgd) Using Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Model
Figure 17-1	Monthly Pumping Distribution for 11-Year Simulation
Figure 17-2	Simulated Groundwater Levels at Monitor Well 12L019 in the Claiborne Aquifer (Layer 3)
Figure 17-3	Contribution of Aquifer Storage to Increased Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer
Figure 18-1	Groundwater Modeling for Upper Floridan Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment in South Central Georgia
Figure 18-2	Groundwater Modeling for Upper Floridan Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain
Figure 18-3	Groundwater Modeling for Claiborne Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment
Figure 18-4	Groundwater Modeling for Cretaceous Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment
Figure 18-5	Groundwater Modeling for Sustainable Yield Assessment of Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain, Claiborne Aquifer, and Cretaceous Aquifer

Appendices

<i>Appendix A</i>	<i>Model Layers</i>
<i>Appendix B</i>	<i>Model Layer Elevations</i>
<i>Appendix C</i>	<i>Well Locations by Water Use Types</i>
<i>Appendix D</i>	<i>Measured Groundwater Elevations at Monitor Wells</i>
<i>Appendix E</i>	<i>Baseflow Separation Analysis</i>
<i>Appendix F</i>	<i>Regional Georgia EPD Groundwater Flow Model Calibration Results</i>
<i>Appendix G</i>	<i>Hydrogeologic Properties in Calibrated Regional Groundwater Flow Model</i>
<i>Appendix H</i>	<i>Groundwater Level Drawdown due to Increasing Pumping in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain Areas</i>
<i>Appendix I</i>	<i>Locations of Monitor Wells in Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Domain</i>
<i>Appendix J</i>	<i>Measured Groundwater Elevations in USGS Monitor Wells for Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer</i>
<i>Appendix K</i>	<i>Sub-Regional Transient Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration Results</i>
<i>Appendix L</i>	<i>Hydrogeologic Properties in Calibrated Sub-Regional Upper Floridan Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model</i>
<i>Appendix M</i>	<i>Locations of Monitor Wells in Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Domain</i>
<i>Appendix N</i>	<i>Measured Groundwater Elevations in USGS Monitor Wells for Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer</i>

Table of Contents
Groundwater Flow Modeling of the Coastal Plain Aquifer System of Georgia

<i>Appendix O</i>	<i>Sub-Regional Transient Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration Results</i>
<i>Appendix P</i>	<i>Hydrogeologic Properties in Calibrated Sub-Regional Claiborne Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model</i>
<i>Appendix Q</i>	<i>Locations of Monitor Wells in Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Domain</i>
<i>Appendix R</i>	<i>Measured Groundwater Elevations in USGS Monitor Wells for Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer</i>
<i>Appendix S</i>	<i>Sub-Regional Transient Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model Calibration Results</i>
<i>Appendix T</i>	<i>Hydrogeologic Properties in Calibrated Sub-Regional Cretaceous Aquifer Groundwater Flow Model</i>
<i>Appendix U</i>	<i>Results of Incremental Groundwater Modeling Simulations for Upper Floridan Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment in South-Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain Areas</i>
<i>Appendix V</i>	<i>Results of Incremental Groundwater Modeling Simulations for Claiborne Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment</i>
<i>Appendix W</i>	<i>Results of Incremental Groundwater Modeling Simulations for Cretaceous Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment</i>